

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,267	03/29/2001	Kazutoyo Maehiro	6514-8	4470
7055	7590 05/27/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			ZHONG, CHAD	
****	AND CLARKE PLACE VA 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2152	
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1					
ļ	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/821,267	MAEHIRO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication com	Chad Zhong	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Ja</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath of the confidence of the confid	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/24/05	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

OFFICE ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 01/14/2005.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are presented for examination. In amendment A, filed on 01/14/2005.
- 2. It is noted that although the present application does contain line numbers in specification and claims, the line numbers in the claims do not correspond to the preferred format. The preferred format is to number each line of every claim, with each claim beginning with line 1. For ease of reference by both the Examiner and Applicant all future correspondence should include the recommended line numbering.
- 3. Applicant is required to update the status (pending, allowed, etc.) of all parent priority applications in the first line of the specification. The status of all citations of US filed applications in the specification should also be updated where appropriate.
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

 pg 7, line 3, it is not clear whether the 2nd should be changed to "1st", i.e. is the device trying to send to itself or to another entity? Appropriate correction/explanation is required.

Applicant's remarks filed 01/14/2005 have been considered but are found moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a. The following terms lack antecedent basis:

Art Unit: 2152

i. the identifier- claim 1, line 27.

b. The claim language in the following claims is murky or not clearly

understood:

i. As per claim 8, line 4, it is not clearly understood what is meant by "apparatus the 2^{nd} information processing apparatus" (i.e. does the Applicant mean apparatus to the 2^{nd})

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371 (c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- 6. Claims 1, 4-5, 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Adler et al. (hereinafter Adler), US 6,249,765.
- 7. As per claim 1, Adler teaches an information processing apparatus capable of sending a message about a schedule to a 2nd information processing apparatus (Col. 8, lines 35-37, database 310) through a communications network, wherein the 2nd information processing apparatus is capable of analyzing the message being received and extracting words or phrases (Col. 8, lines 30-37) for entering into the schedule managed by a scheduler (Col. 8, lines 55-65):

a first storage device that stores a group of first data sets in which the words or phrases are matched to respective identifiers (Col. 7, lines 50-61, wherein the first storage device would be item 238, identifiers are the keywords in digitized format), while a second storage device in the 2nd information processing apparatus (Col. 8, lines 35-37, item 310 the database) stores a group of second data sets corresponding to

Art Unit: 2152

words or phrases having the same meanings as the words or phrases corresponding to the respective identifiers of the first data sets (Col. 8, lines 55-65, wherein the identifiers are keywords, the extracted key words are a subset of the original keyword document);

a word or phrase selector that selects at least one word or phrase from the words or phrases stored in the first storage device (Col. 8, lines 55-60);

an extractor that extracts a specific identifier corresponding to the selected word or phrase from the first data sets (Col. 10, lines 44-50); and

a transmitter that transmits the extracted identifier as the message to the 2nd information processing apparatus (Col. 8, lines 30-37, wherein the extracted information are sent to database 310 and stored there)...

wherein the identifier transmitted from the information processing apparatus is converted to a specific word or phrase on the basis of the second data sets stored in the second storage device (Col. 8, lines 55-60; Col. 10, lines 44-53, wherein the original document is 'converted' or filtered so that only portion of the original document of interested will be extracted to the database 310 and used by the scheduler, i.e. the date, time etc.).

- 8. As per claim 2, Adler teaches the information processing apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the words or phrases stored in the first storage device are represented by a first language, while the words or phrases stored in the second storage device are represented by a second language different from the first language (Col. 7, lines 55-60, wherein there is a language translation so that machines can understand).
- 9. As per claim 3, Adler teaches the information processing apparatus as claimed in claim 2, wherein the words or phrases of the first and the second storage devices are grouped into different categories and then stored in the first and the second storage devices, respectively (Col. 7, lines 55-60,

Art Unit: 2152

wherein the incoming speech is grouped into text, and handwriting is grouped into text, this would read on the first storage device, i.e. different categories of text; next 2nd storage, i.e. the database 310 stores categories of extracted information, i.e. date, time, phone number and store them within itself, see Col. 8, lines 30-36, lines 57-64; Col. 10, lines 43-50).

- 10. As per claim 4, Adler teaches the information processing apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the words or phrases of the first and the second storage devices are grouped into different categories and then stored in the first and the second storage devices, respectively (Col. 7, lines 55-60, wherein the incoming speech is grouped into text, and handwriting is grouped into text, this would read on the first storage device, i.e. different categories of text; next 2nd storage, i.e. the database 310 stores categories of extracted information, i.e. date, time, phone number and store them within itself, see Col. 8, lines 30-36, lines 57-64; Col. 10, lines 43-50).
- 11. As per claim 5, Adler teaches an information processing apparatus capable of receiving a message about a schedule from a second information processing apparatus through a communications network, analyzing the message being received, and extracting words or phrases for entering into the schedule managed by a scheduler, comprising (see claim 1 above, note now that 2nd device is the client device and the first device is now the database 310):

a first storage device that stores a group of first data sets in which the words or phrases are matched to respective identifiers, while a second storage device in the 2nd information processing apparatus stores a group of second data sets corresponding to words or phrases having the same meanings as the words or phrases corresponding to the respective identifiers of the first data sets (see claim 1 above);

a converter that converts the message in the form of the identifiers transmitted from the 2nd information processing apparatus to the words or phrases on the basis of the first data sets stored in the first storage device (see claim 1 above); and

an entry system that enters the words or phrases converted by the converter into the schedule (Col. 8, lines 30-36, lines 55-65).

- 12. As per claim 6, claim 6 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 2 above.
- 13. As per claim 7, claim 7 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 3 above.
- 14. As per claim 8, Adler teaches the information processing apparatus as claimed in claim 7, further comprising:

a returning system that returns the message received from the 2nd information processing apparatus the 2nd information processing apparatus when the words or phrases are entered into the scheduler by the entry system (Col. 12, lines 60 – Col. 13, lines 5).

15. As per claim 9, Adler teaches the information processing apparatus as claimed in claim 6, further comprising:

a returning system that returns the message received from the 2nd information processing apparatus to the 2nd information processing apparatus when the words or phrases are entered into the scheduler by the entry system (Col. 12, lines 60 – Col. 13, lines 5).

- 16. As per claim 10, claim 10 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 3 above.
- 11. As per claims 11, Adler teaches the information processing apparatus as claimed in claim 10, further comprising:

a returning system that returns the message received from the 2nd information processing apparatus to the 2nd information processing apparatus when the words or phrases are entered in the scheduler by the entry system (Col. 12, lines 60 – Col. 13, lines 5).

12. As per claim 12, claims 12 is rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 11 above.

Art Unit: 2152

13. As per claims 13-18, claims 13-18 are rejected for the same reasons as rejection to claim 1 above.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents and publications are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to
"Information Processing System, Method For Message Communications Recording Medium And
Computer Program".

i. US 6615258 Barry et al.

ii. US 6201536 Hendricks et al.

iii. US 5592656 Canzone

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chad Zhong whose telephone number is (571)272-3946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BURGESS, GLENTON B can be reached on (571)272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GLENTON B. BURGESS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 7

Art Unit: 2152

Page 8

CZ May 18, 2005.